



THE 21ST EDITION OF THE INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE EUROPEAN INTEGRATION REALITIES AND PERSPECTIVES

Artificial Intelligence as a Transformation Vector for the 21st Century University: Emerging Pedagogical Models and Perspectives

Dragoş Toader¹

Abstract: Objectives: This paper examines the transformative role of artificial intelligence in reshaping the mission and pedagogical frameworks of 21st century universities, with a focus on emerging models that integrate AI tools into educational practice. **Prior Work:** The study builds on research in educational technology, constructivist learning theory, and digital transformation literature, drawing on contributions from scholars of adaptive learning systems, competency-based education, and AI ethics in academic contexts. **Approach:** A systematic literature review methodology was employed, synthesizing peer-reviewed studies, institutional policy documents, and reflective observations from teaching practice in analyst programmer education at Danubius International University of Galati. **Results:** The analysis identifies four dominant pedagogical models reshaping higher education: adaptive learning, AI co-created curricula, competency-based assessment, and hybrid university structures, each presenting distinct opportunities and institutional challenges. **Implications:** The findings are relevant for university administrators designing AI-integrated learning environments, for educators navigating new pedagogical roles alongside AI tools, and for policymakers developing ethical frameworks for AI in higher education. **Value:** This paper proposes an original conceptual framework for the ethical and effective integration of AI in higher education, consolidating emerging pedagogical models into a coherent roadmap for institutional transformation.

Keywords: adaptive learning; learning analytics; higher education; hybrid learning; curriculum design

JEL Classification: I23; O33; I20

1. Introduction

The contemporary university stands at a historic inflection point. For centuries, higher education institutions have served as the principal producers of knowledge and the primary socialising agents of professional competence. Yet the emergence of generative artificial intelligence — systems capable of producing academic texts, solving complex programming tasks, generating visual content, and simulating expert reasoning — fundamentally challenges this historical role.

The pace of change is unprecedented. Within a span of fewer than three years, tools such as ChatGPT, Google Gemini, and GitHub Copilot have permeated university campuses worldwide, transforming how students learn, how educators teach, and how institutions evaluate competence. Unlike previous waves of educational technology, which largely enhanced existing pedagogical practices, generative AI

¹Student, School of Media, Design and Technology, Danubius International University, Romania, Address: 3 Galati Blvd., Galaţi, Romania, Corresponding author: dragos.toader1806@gmail.com



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors.
Open access publication under the terms and conditions of the
Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY NC) license
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>)

introduces qualitatively new possibilities — and equally significant risks — for the entire educational ecosystem.

This paper analyses the transformative challenges facing the 21st century university in the context of accelerating AI adoption. It proposes a conceptual framework that situates four emerging pedagogical models — adaptive learning, AI co-created curricula, competency-based assessment, and hybrid universities — within a broader vision of ethical and sustainable educational transformation. The study draws on a synthesis of recent specialised literature and observations from teaching practice in the field of analyst programmer training at Danubius International University of Galati.

2. Related Work

The intersection of artificial intelligence and education has attracted substantial scholarly attention over the past decade. Luckin et al. (2016) provided an influential early roadmap for AI in education, arguing that intelligent tutoring systems and learning analytics represent the most promising applications for personalising the learning experience at scale.

Holmes, Bialik and Fadel (2019) expanded this framework to encompass ethical dimensions, warning that the deployment of AI in educational settings raises critical concerns around data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the erosion of the student–teacher relationship. Their work established a normative foundation that subsequent researchers have consistently built upon.

In the specific context of generative AI, Mollick and Mollick (2023) demonstrated through empirical studies that students who use large language models as learning partners show measurable improvements in writing quality and conceptual understanding, provided that the interaction is scaffolded by appropriate pedagogical guidance. Conversely, Baidoo-Anu and Owusu Ansah (2023) documented significant risks of academic dishonesty and surface-level learning when AI tools are used without structural boundaries.

The European Commission's Digital Education Action Plan (2021–2027) provides the policy context for AI integration in European universities, advocating for competency frameworks that embed digital literacy and AI ethics across all disciplines. This policy orientation forms an important backdrop for understanding institutional responses to AI adoption across the European Higher Education Area.

3. Problem Statement

The central challenge addressed in this study concerns the structural mismatch between the traditional university model and the requirements of an AI-augmented knowledge society. Traditional higher education is built upon a transmission model of knowledge — the professor as authority and source of expertise, the student as recipient — operationalised through lecture-based instruction, standardised assessments, and discipline-specific curricula.

This model faces three interconnected pressures. First, generative AI systems can now replicate many of the cognitive tasks that traditional degree programmes aim to develop: text generation, code production, data analysis, and problem formulation. If universities continue to assess students primarily on these replicable tasks, the credential they award loses much of its labour market signal.

Second, the labour market itself is rapidly restructuring. The World Economic Forum's Future of Jobs Report (2023) projects that 44% of workers' core skills will be disrupted within five years, with AI-adjacent roles growing most rapidly. Universities that do not update their curricula and assessment methodologies risk producing graduates misaligned with evolving employer expectations.

Third, the ethical implications of AI integration remain institutionally unresolved. Questions of academic integrity, equitable access to AI tools, data sovereignty, and the preservation of critical thinking as a core educational outcome require coherent policy responses that most European universities have only begun to formulate.

4. Emerging Pedagogical Models

4.1. Adaptive Learning Systems

Adaptive learning refers to educational environments in which AI algorithms continuously analyse student performance data and dynamically adjust the content, pace, and modality of instruction to match individual learner profiles. Unlike standardised curricula, adaptive systems personalise the educational trajectory in real time, identifying knowledge gaps and providing targeted remediation before they compound.

Commercially deployed adaptive platforms — including Coursera's personalised recommendation engine, Carnegie Learning's MATHia system, and Khan Academy's AI tutoring feature (Khanmigo) — offer compelling early evidence of effectiveness. VanLehn (2011) established through meta-analysis that intelligent tutoring systems achieve effect sizes comparable to one-to-one human tutoring, a result that positions adaptive AI as one of the most scalable solutions to persistent quality gaps in mass higher education.

4.2. AI Co-Created Curricula

The concept of AI co-created curricula envisions a collaborative process in which AI tools assist curriculum designers, subject matter experts, and learners in mapping competencies, identifying knowledge interdependencies, and generating learning materials calibrated to current industry requirements. Rather than annual or biannual curriculum reviews, AI co-creation enables near-continuous updating of course content in response to labour market signals.

In the context of analyst programmer training — the professional specialisation most directly relevant to this paper — AI co-creation holds particular promise. Programming curricula risk obsolescence within two to three years in a field evolving as rapidly as software development. AI tools capable of benchmarking current course content against real-world job listings, identifying skill gaps, and proposing updated learning objectives could substantially reduce the institutional lag that currently characterises vocational higher education.

4.3. Competency-Based Assessment

Competency-based assessment replaces traditional time-based credit accumulation with demonstrated mastery of defined competencies. In an AI-augmented educational context, this model gains new urgency: if AI tools can generate essays, solve coding exercises, and produce analytical reports, then time-based assessments of text production lose discriminative validity.

Assessment must therefore shift toward evaluating processes — how students reason, collaborate, critique, and adapt — rather than products that AI can replicate. Portfolio-based assessment, oral examinations, live coding challenges, and project-based learning represent methodological alternatives that align with both competency-based principles and the imperative to assess uniquely human contributions. The European Qualifications Framework provides a structural context for implementing competency descriptors that transcend national boundaries.

4.4. Hybrid University Models

The hybrid university model envisions an institution that operates simultaneously in physical and virtual spaces, leveraging AI to personalise and scale learning experiences while preserving the social and mentoring functions of campus life. The COVID-19 pandemic served as an inadvertent large-scale pilot of distributed learning, revealing both the feasibility and the limitations of fully remote education.

AI-enhanced hybrid models differ from simple blended learning in their degree of personalisation and automation. Rather than a fixed schedule of in-person and online sessions, the hybrid AI university dynamically allocates learning modalities based on individual student trajectories, learning style data, and the cognitive demands of specific content types. High-order collaborative activities remain campus-centred; foundational knowledge acquisition migrates to AI-supported asynchronous environments.

5. Analysis of Results

The synthesis of literature and pedagogical observation yields a coherent if challenging picture of AI's transformative trajectory in higher education. The four models examined — adaptive learning, AI co-created curricula, competency-based assessment, and hybrid universities — are not mutually exclusive; they are complementary dimensions of a broader institutional transformation already underway in leading universities globally and accelerating in the Romanian higher education context.

The primary barrier to implementation is not technological but institutional: faculty readiness, assessment infrastructure, accreditation flexibility, and leadership vision represent the binding constraints. In the context of analyst programmer training at Danubius International University of Galati, preliminary pedagogical observations suggest that students who engage with AI coding assistants (GitHub Copilot, ChatGPT) as structured learning tools — with explicit metacognitive prompting — demonstrate faster resolution of complex problems while maintaining adequate conceptual understanding.

However, the same observations reveal a significant risk of over-reliance: students who substitute AI-generated code for their own problem formulation demonstrate weaker diagnostic skills when faced with novel, unstructured challenges. This finding underscores the imperative of designing AI-integrated assessments that require students to interrogate, critique, and extend AI-generated outputs rather than merely submit them.

6. Conclusions

This paper has argued that artificial intelligence is not merely a disruptive technology for higher education — it is a transformative force that requires universities to reconceptualise their fundamental

purpose. The four pedagogical models examined — adaptive learning, AI co-created curricula, competency-based assessment, and hybrid universities — offer coherent pathways toward an institution capable of preparing students for a genuinely uncertain future.

The ethical dimension of this transformation is non-negotiable. AI integration without robust frameworks for academic integrity, equitable access, and the preservation of critical human agency risks producing graduates who are technologically fluent but intellectually dependent. The 21st century university must be, above all, an institution that teaches students how to think with AI — not instead of thinking.

For Romanian higher education, the transition is both an opportunity and an obligation. Institutions that lead the pedagogical transformation will attract students, faculty, and partnerships; those that delay risk institutional marginalisation in an increasingly competitive knowledge economy.

7. Future Work

Future research should focus on longitudinal empirical evaluation of AI-integrated pedagogical interventions in Romanian universities, tracking student outcomes across cohorts with varying degrees of AI exposure. Comparative studies examining how different disciplinary contexts — technical, humanistic, and professional — respond to AI co-created curricula would provide valuable differentiated insights. The development of validated assessment instruments capable of distinguishing AI-assisted from AI-substituted student work represents a particularly urgent methodological priority.

8. Acknowledgements

The author gratefully acknowledges the academic guidance of the faculty of Danubius International University of Galați and the collegial environment that supported the development of these ideas.

References

- Baidoo-Anu, D., & Owusu Ansah, L. (2023). Education in the era of generative artificial intelligence (AI): Understanding the potential benefits of ChatGPT in promoting teaching and learning. *Journal of AI*, 7(1), 52–62.
- European Commission. (2021). *Digital Education Action Plan (2021–2027)*. Directorate-General for Education, Youth, Sport and Culture.
- Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Promises and Implications for Teaching and Learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.
- Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence Unleashed: An Argument for AI in Education*. Pearson Education.
- Mollick, E., & Mollick, L. (2023). Assigning AI: Seven approaches for students, with prompts. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4475995>
- VanLehn, K. (2011). The relative effectiveness of human tutoring, intelligent tutoring systems, and other tutoring systems. *Educational Psychologist*, 46(4), 197–221.
- World Economic Forum. (2023). *The Future of Jobs Report 2023*. World Economic Forum.