THE 19TH EDITION OF THE INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE
EUROPEAN INTEGRATION
REALITIES AND PERSPECTIVES

Legal and Administrative Sciences
in the New Millennium

# Data, Privacy, and the Law: Safeguarding Rights in the New Millennium

**Junaid Sattar Butt**[1]

**Abstract:** In the dynamic realm of data governance and technological progression, the confluence of the General Data Protection Regulation, 2018 (EDPS, 2024) ('**the GDPR, 2018**') and the newly enacted EU Artificial Intelligence Act, 2024 (Parliament, 2024) (**'AI Act, 2024'**) stands as a significant juncture for examination. While the GDPR, 2018 has solidified its reputation as one of the world's most stringent data privacy and security laws, the AI Act, 2024 represents a groundbreaking step in regulating artificial intelligence. This study delves into the intricate relationship between these regulatory frameworks, probing whether they coalesce harmoniously as a potent force for responsible AI advancement or potentially clash in their objectives. The research critically evaluates how the GDPR, 2018 and the AI Act, 2024 intersect, navigating through their shared objectives of safeguarding individual rights while scrutinizing their distinct emphases and compliance requisites. By adopting a multifaceted approach encompassing legal, ethical, and practical dimensions, this article endeavors to unravel the complexities surrounding data protection, algorithmic governance, and the ethical application of AI. Through a comprehensive analysis, the study aims to illuminate whether the symbiotic relationship between the GDPR, 2018 and the AI Act, 2024 indeed fosters a conducive environment for responsible AI development or introduces challenges that impede innovation. By shedding light on the nuances of this regulatory interplay, the research contributes to a deeper comprehension of the opportunities and obstacles inherent in the convergence of data protection and AI governance in the digital era. This examination holds particular relevance for researchers, policymakers, and businesses engaged in the development and deployment of AI systems, offering insights into navigating the evolving landscape of data privacy and technological regulation in the new millennium.

**Keywords:** GDPR and AI Act interplay; Balancing data protection and AI innovation; Responsible AI Development; Artificial Intelligence; Algorithmic Governance.

[1] Master of Laws (LLM), Postgraduate Institute of Law, the University of Lahore, Pakistan, Address: 1-Km Defence Road‹, near Bhuptian Chowk‹, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan, Tel.: +92 333 427 0989, Corresponding author: junaidsattarbutt@yahoo.com.

## 1. Introduction

In the rapidly evolving landscape of data governance and technological regulation, the convergence of two pivotal legislative pillars—the General Data Protection Regulation of 2016 (GDPR, 2016) and the newly enacted EU Artificial Intelligence Act of 2024 (AI Act, 2024)—signals a critical juncture worthy of examination. While the GDPR, 2016 went into effect on May 25, 2018 has established itself as one of the world's most stringent laws concerning data privacy and security, the AI Act, 2024 represents a groundbreaking endeavor in the regulation of artificial intelligence (Butt, 2024, pp. 7343-7364). This study embarks on a journey into the intricate relationship between these regulatory frameworks, probing the extent to which they harmonize as a potent force for responsible AI advancement or potentially diverge in their objectives. Through a critical evaluation of their intersection, we navigate the shared goal of safeguarding individual rights while scrutinizing their distinct emphases and compliance requisites. Adopting a multifaceted approach that encompasses legal, ethical, and practical dimensions, this research endeavors to unravel the complexities surrounding data protection, algorithmic governance, and the ethical application of AI. By conducting a comprehensive analysis, our study aims to illuminate whether the symbiotic relationship between the GDPR, 2016 and the AI Act, 2024 indeed cultivates an environment conducive to responsible AI development or introduces challenges that impede innovation. Through shedding light on the nuances of this regulatory interplay, our research contributes to a deeper comprehension of the opportunities and obstacles inherent in the convergence of data protection and AI governance in the digital era. This examination holds particular relevance for researchers, policymakers, and businesses engaged in the development and deployment of AI systems, offering insights into navigating the evolving landscape of data privacy and technological regulation in the new millennium. As we embark on this exploration, we strive to provide actionable insights that empower stakeholders to navigate the complex terrain of data governance and AI regulation with confidence and foresight.

## 2. Literature Review

The promulgation of the Artificial Intelligence (AI) Act, 2024 on 13th March, 2024 marks a significant milestone in AI regulation within the European Union. While direct scholarly analysis of the EU AI Act, 2024 is limited in the immediate aftermath of its enactment, the broader literature on AI regulation and governance offers crucial context and insights into the implications of this groundbreaking legislation. *Kosurko et al.* (Kosurko, Arminen, Herron, Skinner & Stevanovic, 2021) explore the social connectedness of older adults through digital interventions, highlighting the potential of ethno-methodological approaches in understanding the impact of technology on societal dynamics. Similarly, *Khakurel and Blomqvist* (Khakurel & Blomqvist, 2022) provide insights into the integration of AI in teamwork settings, emphasizing the need to balance opportunities and concerns. They stress the importance of addressing factors such as privacy, ethics, and teammate interactions in maximizing AI's benefits while mitigating risks. *Karttunen, Lintukangas, and Hallikas* (Karttunen, Lintukangas & Hallikas, 2023, pp. 685-706) explore digital transformation in the public sector, emphasizing the importance of data infrastructure in enabling interoperability and comprehensive governance. *Hallamaa and Kalliokoski* (Hallamaa & Kalliokoski, 2022, p. 12) advocate for a reality-based practice orientation in AI ethics, focusing on practical solutions to ethical problems in AI design. They stress the importance of aligning commercial interests with ethical considerations to ensure responsible AI development. *Harju, Hallikas, et al. (2023)* (Harju, Hallikas, Immonen & Lintukangas, 2023, pp. 62-76) examine the impact of procurement digitalization on supply chain resilience, highlighting the role of information sharing in mitigating uncertainty. *Byanjankar, Mezei, and Heikkilä* (Byanjankar, Mezei & Heikkilä,

2021, pp. 119-129) propose a data-driven model for P2P lending decision-making, emphasizing the importance of optimizing loan portfolios using advanced frameworks. *Vangard Littler* (Vangard, 2024) highlights the significance of the EU Parliament's adoption of the AI Act, 2024, categorizing AI systems into risk tiers and imposing regulatory consequences. *Tero Erkkilä* (Tero & Erkkilä, 2024) discusses the implications of digitization in bureaucracies, highlighting the need for control mechanisms to address citizen information rights and accountability in hybrid governance structures. *Kuypers* (Kuypers, 2024) explores the legal implications of AI-driven designs in the EU, highlighting the need for comprehensive frameworks to protect intellectual property rights. They stress the importance of verifying data sources and reviewing AI program terms and conditions to mitigate legal risks. Similarly, *Gritsenko and Wood* (Gritsenko & Wood, 2022, pp. 45-62) highlight the transformative effects of algorithms on traditional governance modes, emphasizing the need for adaptation to address new challenges. *Wingström, Hautala, and Lundman* (Wingström, Hautala & Lundman, 2022) advocate for redefining creativity in the AI era, focusing on co-creativity between humans and AI. They suggest that future studies should explore the spatial process between humans and AI to unlock new possibilities. *Schutte, Majewski, and Havu* (Schutte, Majewski & Havu, 2021) examine damages liability for AI-related harm in the EU, emphasizing the need for novel rules to address moral and legal issues. *Stark, Ferm, et al.* (Stark, et al., 2023, pp. 890-910) discuss the digitalization of manufacturing, presenting strategies for operations optimization and technology integration. *Mlynář and Arminen* (Mlynář & Arminen, 2023) underscore the importance of examining social practices to understand social change, particularly in the context of technological advancements. They advocate for ethno-methodology/conversation analysis (EM/CA) studies to provide valuable insights into the transformation of social practices. Overall, the literature review provides a comprehensive understanding of the regulatory landscape surrounding AI, emphasizing the need for interdisciplinary collaboration, ethical considerations, and adaptable regulatory frameworks. These insights are crucial for informed policymaking and regulatory action in the digital age, ensuring responsible AI development while safeguarding fundamental rights and societal values.

## 3. The General Data Protection Regulation, 2016: A Foundation for Data Privacy

Enacted in 2016, the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) stands as a cornerstone in data privacy legislation, establishing a comprehensive framework governing the handling of personal data within the European Union (EU) and the European Economic Area (EEA). The GDPR's primary objective is to empower individuals by providing them with increased control over their personal data, while simultaneously imposing stringent obligations on organizations responsible for handling such data. The GDPR's key provisions and principles encompass a diverse range of measures aimed at enhancing data protection and privacy. Central to the regulation is the principle of "lawfulness, fairness, and transparency," which mandates that organizations process personal data in a manner that is both lawful and transparent, ensuring clear communication with individuals regarding the purposes and methods of data processing. Furthermore, the GDPR emphasizes the principle of "purpose limitation," stipulating that personal data should only be collected for specified, explicit, and legitimate purposes, and not further processed in a manner incompatible with those purposes. This provision serves to mitigate the risk of misuse or unauthorized access to personal data by restricting its usage to predefined objectives. Moreover, the GDPR advocates for the principle of "data minimization," advocating for the collection of only the minimum amount of personal data necessary for the intended purpose. By limiting data collection to what is strictly required, organizations can reduce the risk of data breaches and mitigate potential privacy infringements. Additionally, the GDPR introduces the concept of "data accuracy,"

requiring organizations to take reasonable steps to ensure the accuracy and currency of the personal data they process. This provision aims to safeguard individuals against the adverse consequences of inaccurate or outdated data, such as erroneous decision-making (Butt, 2023, pp. 7-25) or reputational harm. The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) is a stringent regulation that ensures individuals' rights regarding their personal data. It grants them the right to access, rectify, erasure, and portability of their data, allowing them to exercise greater control over their information and hold organizations accountable for their data processing practices. The GDPR has significantly impacted data governance and individual rights, forcing organizations to adopt more stringent data protection measures. It has also influenced organizational attitudes towards data privacy, fostering a culture of accountability and transparency. Compliance with the GDPR's provisions has enhanced trust and confidence among individuals regarding their data handling. The GDPR has also sparked global discussions about the ethical implications of data processing and the need for stronger regulatory oversight. By raising awareness of individuals' rights and promoting transparency, the GDPR has empowered individuals to assert greater control over their data and demand accountability from organizations.

## 4. The EU Artificial Intelligence Act, 2024: Pioneering Regulation for AI Governance

The EU Artificial Intelligence Act, enacted in 2024, is a significant step in AI governance, setting a precedent for global regulation. The Act aims to establish clear guidelines, standards, and accountability mechanisms for the development and deployment of AI systems within the European Union, aiming to drive productivity and economic growth. It delineates the responsibilities of various stakeholders, including governments, industry, academia, civil society, and the public, to ensure responsible and ethical AI technologies. The Act aims to address potential risks and challenges associated with AI technologies, such as bias, discrimination, and privacy infringement. It mandates measures for risk assessment, mitigation, and transparency to minimize the negative impacts of AI systems on individuals and society. It emphasizes fairness, transparency, and privacy in AI development and deployment to build trust and confidence among users and stakeholders. The Act also emphasizes accountability and oversight in AI governance, establishing mechanisms for ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements. It holds AI developers and providers accountable for the ethical and legal implications of their technologies, promoting responsible behavior and preventing potential abuses of AI systems. The Act also promotes collaboration and knowledge-sharing among stakeholders in the AI ecosystem, fostering dialogue and cooperation between governments, industry, academia, and civil society. Initiatives such as research funding, innovation hubs, and public-private partnerships drive technological advancement while ensuring equitable AI benefits distribution across society. By prioritizing ethical considerations, accountability, and collaboration, the Act seeks to foster a regulatory environment that promotes responsible AI development while safeguarding individual rights and societal values. As such, it serves as a model for other nations seeking to regulate AI in the new millennium, offering valuable insights into the intersection of technology, privacy, and law (Butt, 2023, pp. 7-21) in the digital age.

## 5. Intersecting Pathways: Understanding the Relationship between GDPR, 2016 and AI Act, 2024

The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) 2016 and the EU Artificial Intelligence Act (AI Act) 2024 are two key legislative frameworks that aim to protect individual rights and promote responsible data use and AI development. The GDPR emphasizes data minimization, purpose limitation, and accountability, while the AI Act regulates the development and deployment of AI systems within the

EU. Both regulations aim to instill trust in data-driven technologies and mitigate risks to individuals and society. However, they also present potential conflicts. The GDPR's emphasis on data minimization may clash with the AI Act's requirement for access to large datasets for training and improving AI algorithms. This tension arises when AI systems require extensive personal data, raising concerns about GDPR compliance. The AI Act, 2024, introduces additional considerations such as algorithmic transparency, accountability, and bias mitigation to address the unique challenges posed by AI technologies. However, integrating these requirements into existing data protection frameworks may pose implementation challenges and create conflicts with GDPR compliance obligations. To navigate the relationship between the GDPR and AI Act, stakeholders must carefully consider how to reconcile these conflicting objectives. A nuanced approach that acknowledges the complexities of both regulatory frameworks is essential. Collaborative efforts between policymakers, industry stakeholders, and civil society are crucial to ensure data governance and AI regulation work synergistically to safeguard rights in the new millennium.

## 6. Unraveling Complexity: Multifaceted Analysis of Data Protection, Algorithmic Governance, and Ethical AI Application

The GDPR and AI Act, 2024 are crucial in navigating the complex landscape of data governance and AI regulation. Understanding the interplay between these laws is essential for identifying potential gaps or conflicts, and informing strategies for harmonization and compliance. Ethical considerations are also crucial, as AI technologies become increasingly integrated into society, posing ethical concerns around algorithmic bias, privacy infringement, and societal impact. Researchers must explore ethical frameworks and principles guiding AI development and deployment, evaluating the ethical implications of algorithmic decision-making, data usage, and AI system design. By addressing ethical dilemmas and promoting ethical AI practices, stakeholders can mitigate risks and ensure that AI technologies align with societal values and norms, fostering trust and accountability in AI governance. Practical dimensions involve operationalizing data protection and AI governance principles within real-world contexts, examining challenges related to implementing data privacy measures, ensuring algorithmic transparency, and fostering responsible AI development. Researchers must explore strategies for integrating legal requirements and ethical principles into AI system design and deployment processes, considering factors such as resource constraints, technological capabilities, and organizational cultures.

## 7. Illuminating the Path: Assessing the Impact of GDPR, 2016 and AI Act, 2024 Convergence on Responsible AI Development

The GDPR and AI Act, 2024, are a symbiotic relationship that can potentially foster responsible AI development. The GDPR emphasizes data protection, transparency, and individual rights, laying a solid foundation for ethical AI development by fostering trust and accountability in data processing activities. The AI Act, on the other hand, establishes clear guidelines, standards, and accountability mechanisms for AI systems, reinforcing the principles of responsible AI development advocated by the GDPR. This alignment can create a conducive environment where AI innovation is encouraged and governed by ethical considerations, thereby fostering responsible AI advancement. However, challenges arise at the intersection of these regulatory frameworks, such as navigating the complexities of compliance with both regulations. For instance, certain AI applications may require access to large datasets for training purposes, potentially posing a conflict between data protection and AI development objectives. Addressing these challenges requires a nuanced understanding of the legal, ethical, and technical aspects

of both regulations, as well as proactive measures to reconcile any discrepancies. The convergence of the GDPR and AI Act presents opportunities for innovation and collaboration in the development of AI technologies. The AI Act provides clear guidelines and standards for AI development, fostering a predictable regulatory environment that encourages investment and innovation in AI research and development. The emphasis on transparency, fairness, and accountability in the AI Act promotes the development of ethical and socially responsible AI systems, creating opportunities for businesses to differentiate themselves and gain a competitive advantage in the market.

## 8. Implications and Recommendations

The GDPR and AI Act, 2024, have merged to create a complex regulatory landscape that requires interdisciplinary approaches from researchers, policymakers, and businesses. Researchers can explore the practical implementation challenges of aligning these objectives and explore the ethical implications of AI technologies. Collaborative research efforts across academia, industry, and regulatory bodies can facilitate knowledge sharing and drive innovation in AI governance frameworks. Policymakers are responsible for crafting legislation that balances innovation with protecting individual rights. They should prioritize regular reviews and updates of both the GDPR and AI Act to ensure they remain adaptive to technological advancements and societal needs. Enhancing transparency and accountability in AI development and deployment processes can foster public trust and confidence in AI technologies. Businesses operating within the EU must navigate the complex regulatory landscape created by the GDPR and AI Act, prioritizing investment in robust data governance frameworks that prioritize privacy and security. This includes implementing privacy-by-design principles in AI system development and deployment, ensuring data minimization, transparency, and accountability throughout the data lifecycle. By aligning business practices with the principles outlined in both the GDPR and AI Act, businesses can mitigate legal risks, build consumer trust, and foster responsible AI innovation. Policymakers should clarify legal definitions and requirements to ensure consistency in interpretation and enforcement. They should also explore mechanisms for facilitating data sharing for AI development while upholding privacy and security standards. Promoting collaboration between regulatory bodies responsible for enforcing the GDPR and AI Act can streamline compliance efforts and minimize administrative burdens on businesses. By fostering a cohesive regulatory framework that balances innovation with ethical considerations, policymakers can create an environment conducive to responsible AI development and sustainable digital transformation in the new millennium.

## 9. Conclusion

In conclusion, the examination of the interplay between the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), 2016 and the EU Artificial Intelligence Act (AI Act), 2024 reveals a complex yet promising landscape for the future of data privacy and AI governance. Through a multifaceted analysis, this study has identified several key findings and implications.

### 9.1. Summary of Key Findings:

- The GDPR, 2016 has solidified its position as a stringent data privacy law, empowering individuals and establishing a foundation for data governance.

- The AI Act, 2024 represents a groundbreaking step in regulating artificial intelligence, prioritizing responsible AI development and ethical considerations.
- The intersection of the GDPR, 2016 and AI Act, 2024 unveils both shared objectives and potential conflicts, highlighting the need for careful navigation and alignment.
- Multifaceted analysis reveals the complexities surrounding data protection, algorithmic governance, and ethical AI application, emphasizing the importance of considering legal, ethical, and practical dimensions.
- The symbiotic relationship between the GDPR, 2016 and AI Act, 2024 holds the potential to foster responsible AI advancement while introducing challenges that impede innovation.

### 9.2. Implications for the Future of Data Privacy and AI Governance:

- Stakeholders must collaborate to navigate the convergence of GDPR, 2016 and AI Act, 2024 objectives, ensuring a balance between data privacy rights and the advancement of AI technologies.
- Continued examination and adaptation are essential to address emerging challenges and opportunities in the evolving landscape of data privacy and AI governance.
- Policymakers should prioritize harmonization efforts to streamline regulatory frameworks and foster innovation while safeguarding individual rights.
- Businesses and organizations must adopt ethical AI practices and compliance measures to navigate the complex regulatory environment and build trust with consumers.
- Researchers should continue to explore the implications of GDPR, 2016 and AI Act, 2024 convergence, advancing knowledge and understanding in the field of data privacy and AI governance.

As we move forward in the new millennium, the convergence of data privacy and AI governance presents both opportunities and challenges. It is imperative that we remain vigilant in our examination of regulatory frameworks, ensuring they evolve in tandem with technological advancements and societal expectations. By fostering collaboration, innovation, and ethical practices, we can build a future where data privacy is safeguarded, and AI technologies are developed and deployed responsibly. Continued examination and adaptation will be key in navigating this complex and dynamic landscape, ultimately shaping a future grounded in both innovation and ethical considerations.

### 9.3. Future Directions for Research

The GDPR and AI Act are two key regulations that govern the use of data and AI in the EU. The GDPR aims to protect individuals' data, while the AI Act focuses on regulating AI technologies. However, there are ethical and societal implications of these regulations, including algorithmic bias, discrimination, fairness, and accountability. The effectiveness of governance and enforcement mechanisms under the GDPR and AI Act is also a subject of investigation. As AI technologies advance, the GDPR and AI Act must adapt to technological innovations and emerging applications, addressing regulatory challenges while upholding data protection and privacy rights. Comparative studies across different jurisdictions can provide insights into the similarities and differences in data protection and AI governance approaches. International harmonization efforts can also help inform future policy development and promote interoperability between regulatory frameworks. Stakeholder perspectives

and public perception of the GDPR and AI Act can inform regulatory decision-making processes and identify areas for improvement. By addressing these research directions, scholars can contribute to a deeper understanding of the dynamic interplay between data protection and AI regulation.

### 9.4. Recommendations

The GDPR and AI Act are interconnected, requiring policymakers to ensure coherence and consistency in AI regulation. This involves harmonizing regulations, enhancing collaboration between regulatory authorities, investing in privacy-preserving technologies, and developing educational resources and training programs. The GDPR aims to streamline regulatory requirements and mitigate potential conflicts by conducting comprehensive assessments of existing regulations. The AI Act, on the other hand, aims to protect data protection and privacy rights by promoting innovation in AI development and deployment. To ensure compliance, policymakers should invest in research and development initiatives to promote the development of privacy-preserving AI technologies. Additionally, they should prioritize the development of educational resources and training programs to support businesses, organizations, and individuals in navigating the regulatory landscape. Regular reviews and assessments of the GDPR and AI Act are necessary to adapt regulations to the evolving landscape of AI technologies and data protection challenges. This iterative approach ensures that regulatory frameworks remain relevant, effective, and aligned with societal values and human rights principles. By implementing these recommendations, policymakers can foster a more coherent and consistent regulatory framework for AI within the EU, promoting responsible and ethical AI development while safeguarding data protection and privacy rights for individuals.

### 9.5. Limitations and Ethical Considerations:

The GDPR and AI Act are two significant legislations that govern the use of data in the digital age. While there is a growing body of literature exploring the individual implications of these regulations, there is a significant gap in research that comprehensively examines their interaction and potential conflicts. This highlights the need for further empirical studies and interdisciplinary research that considers the complex interplay between data protection regulations and AI governance. The GDPR sets a firm ethical groundwork for data processing within the European Union, emphasizing accountability, transparency, and the protection of fundamental rights. The AI Act of 2024 builds upon these ethical foundations, extending them to AI development and deployment. It emphasizes human oversight, transparency, and risk management in AI systems, aiming to ensure that AI technologies operate in accordance with societal values and human rights. The convergence of the GDPR and AI Act in ethical considerations underscores a shared commitment to responsible and ethical AI advancement and implementation within the EU. By aligning regulatory goals and promoting ethical data handling practices, these regulations jointly contribute to establishing a robust ethical framework that safeguards individual rights while fostering innovation and technological progress. However, as we navigate the complexities of AI regulation and data protection, it remains crucial to maintain vigilance and proactively address emerging ethical challenges to ensure that AI technologies continue to serve the common good while adhering to ethical standards and principles.

## 10. Acknowledgment

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support and collaboration of the *International Research and Development Societatis (IRDS)* in facilitating the research and development of this conference paper titled "Data, Privacy, and the Law: Safeguarding Rights in the New Millennium." We appreciate IRDS's commitment to promoting scholarly inquiry and fostering interdisciplinary collaboration in addressing the critical issues at the intersection of data privacy and legal frameworks.

### Authors' Biography

Junaid Sattar Butt possesses a distinguished academic background, including a Master of Laws (LL.M) with honors from the University of Lahore, specializing in International & Comparative Laws and Research Methodology. He also holds a Master of Arts in Political Science from the University of the Punjab, Lahore, and a Bachelor of Laws (LL.B) from the same institution, providing him with a comprehensive understanding of legal principles. Mr. Butt's educational journey extends to a Master of Business Administration (Finance) from the Virtual University of Pakistan, enhancing his expertise in financial matters. Proficient in English, Urdu and Punjabi, Butt has developed essential communication and organizational skills throughout his academic and professional endeavors. In terms of professional experience, Mr. Butt has over four years of practical experience as an Advocate at the High Court and District Courts, where he has honed his skills in legal research, court assistance, settlements, and arbitration. He has also served as a Visiting Teaching Faculty member, imparting knowledge on International Laws, Administrative Laws, and Human Rights. Additionally, his role as a Law / Research Officer at Malik Law Associates has further solidified his proficiency in legal research and case preparation. Butt's research profile demonstrates his dedication to exploring contemporary legal issues. His publications cover diverse topics such as the impact of digitalization on administrative decision-making processes, comparative studies on international relations, human rights protection, and political instability. He actively participates in conferences, seminars, and workshops, showcasing his commitment to continuous learning and professional development. Butt's involvement in legal advocacy and community engagement initiatives, as evidenced by his memberships in various bar associations and committees, reflects his dedication to advancing justice and social welfare. With his strong academic background, extensive professional experience, and commitment to legal scholarship and advocacy, Junaid Sattar Butt is well-equipped to pursue doctoral research in the field of law and governance, contributing significantly to the advancement of legal knowledge and practice.

## References

Butt, J. (2023). A Comparative Analysis of the Regulatory Approach And Management Practice For Digital Currencies, And the Role Of International Financial Organizations, In Developing A Global Regulatory Framework For Accounting Standards of Digital Crypto-Currency. *The Journal of Accounting and Management, 13(3),* pp. 7-21. Retrieved from https://dj.univ-danubius.ro/index.php/JAM/article/view/2586.

Butt, J. (2023). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on the Efficiency of Administrative Decision Making Including Ethical & Legal Considerations and Comparative Study about Countries Already Incorporated AI for Administrative Decisions. *Acta Universitatis Danubius. Juridica, 19(3),* pp. 7-25. Retrieved from https://dj.univ-danubius.ro/index.php/AUDJ/article/view/2560.

Butt, J. (2024). Analytical Study of the World's First EU Artificial Intelligence (AI) Act. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, pp. 7343-7364.

Byanjankar, A.; Mezei, J. & Heikkilä, M. (2021). Data-driven optimization of peer-to-peer lending portfolios based on the expected value framework. *Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance and Management, 28(2)*, pp. 119-129. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/isaf.1490.

EDPS. (2024). *The History of the General Data Protection Regulation*. Retrieved from European Data Protection Supervisor: https://www.edps.europa.eu/data-protection/data-protection/legislation/history-general-data-protection-regulation_en.

Gritsenko, D. & Wood, M. (2022). Algorithmic governance: A modes of governance approach. *Regulation & Governance, 16(1)*, pp. 45-62. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/346876996_Algorithmic_governance_A_modes_of_governance_approach.

Hallamaa, J. & Kalliokoski, T. (2022). AI Ethics as Applied Ethics. *Frontiers in computer science, 4*, p. 12. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/359803298_AI_Ethics_as_Applied_Ethics.

Harju, A.; Hallikas, J.; Immonen, M. & Lintukangas, K. (2023). The impact of procurement digitalization on supply chain resilience: empirical evidence from Finland. *Supply Chain Management, Vol. 28 No. 7*, pp. 62-76. Retrieved from https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/SCM-08-2022-0312/full/html.

Karttunen, E.; Lintukangas, K. & Hallikas, J. (2023). Digital transformation of the purchasing and supply management process. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, Vol. 53 No. 5/6*, pp. 685-706. Retrieved from https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/IJPDLM-06-2022-0199/full/html

Khakurel, J. & Blomqvist, K. (2022). Artificial Intelligence Augmenting Human Teams. A Systematic Literature Review on the Opportunities and Concerns. In H. Degen, & S. Ntoa, *Artificial Intelligence in HCI. HCII 2022. Lecture Notes in Computer Science.* Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/360600948_Artificial_Intelligence_Augmenting_Human_Teams_A_Systematic_Literature_Review_on_the_Opportunities_and_Concerns.

Kosurko, A.; Arminen, I.; Herron, R.; Skinner, M. & Stevanovic, M. (2021). Observing Social Connectedness in a Digital Dance Program for Older Adults: An EMCA Approach. In Q. Gao, & J. Zhou, *Human Aspects of IT for the Aged Population. Technology Design and Acceptance. HCII 2021. Lecture Notes in Computer Science.* Retrieved from https://helda.helsinki.fi/server/api/core/bitstreams/58fa92ee-3f55-4a26-a5a4-ac1bbc6b8dc6/content.

Kuypers, L. (2024). *The interaction between Artificial Intelligence and design: an analysis under EU design law.*

Mlynář, J.; & Arminen, I. (2023). Respecifying social change: the obsolescence of practices and the transience of technology. *Frontiers in Sociology*. Retrieved from https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fsoc.2023.1222734/full#h8.

Parliament, E. (2024). *EU Artificial Intelligence Act*. Retrieved from European Parliament: https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/TA-9-2024-0138_EN.pdf.

Schutte, S. B.; Majewski, L. & Havu, K. (2021). Damages Liability for Harm Caused by Artificial Intelligence – EU Law in Flux. *Helsinki Legal Studies Research Paper*. Retrieved from https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3897839.

Stark, A.; Ferm, K.; Hanson, R.; Johansson, M.; Khajavi, S.; Medbo, L. & Holmström, J. (2023). Hybrid digital manufacturing: Capturing the value of digitalization. *Journal of Operations Management, 69(6)*, pp. 890-910. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/joom.1231

Tero, & Erkkilä. (2024). Hybridity in digital and algorithmic public governance. In G. Grossi, & J. Vakkuri, *Handbook of Accounting and Public Governance*, pp. 32-46. https://www.ippapublicpolicy.org/file/paper/60da17e9ae141.pdf.

Vangard, L. (2024). *European AI Act - EU Parliament adopts world's first multinational regulation of artificial intelligence.* Retrieved from https://www.lexology.com/library/detail.aspx?g=1af1b1b2-ff60-4cb6-a84b-b91f52d0d5b8.

Wingström, R.; Hautala, J. & Lundman, R. (2022). *Redefining Creativity in the Era of AI? Perspectives of Computer Scientists and New Media Artists.*